

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/822,623	03/30/2001	James M. Maloney	8195.28US01	7122
23552 73	590 06/25/2002			
	& GOULD PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLI	3 S, MN 55402-0903		CHANG, VICTOR S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	- 7
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		HC+
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/822,623	MALONEY, JAMES M.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Victor S Chang	1771
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
	od for Reply		
- - - -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMEMBERS DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) davs. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ON. FR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a n a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1	) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a	) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
	) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un osition of Claims		
•	) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are with		
5	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8	) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
App	ication Papers	·	
ç	) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection		
11	) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	•	
12	) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13	) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
15	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		
	nment(s)		
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/822,623 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-15, drawn to an adhesive construction, classified in class 428, subclass 343.
  - II. Claim 16-20, drawn to method of preparing an adhesive construction, classified in class 156, subclass 60+.
- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make adhesive labels without adhesive free areas.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Katherine Smith on June 3, 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,623

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voy et al. (US 5351426) in view of Yasuda et al. (US 5409754).

Voy's invention is related to labels with various shapes and discrete zones of adhesives as desired (Fig. 5, Fig.9, Fig. 10, Fig. 11). A transverse leading edge of each label is adhesive-free. Benefits include a) reduced adhesion of the labels to the carrier sheet during die-cutting of the labels and b) improved releasability of the labels from the carrier sheet during label application (Abstract). The zones are recessed from the peripheries of the labels. If desired, however, the adhesive can be applied in zones with peripheries precisely corresponding to the peripheries of the labels (column 19, lines 5-7). Voy also teaches that the label is buried beneath a transparent film through which the print can be read (column 4, lines 14-15) and the labels are contained within a single, continuous, non-perforated sheet capable of being wound into a roll (column 4, lines 51-53). Voy lacks the specific teaching of improving the releasability of the labels from the carrier sheet by applying reduced amount of adhesive at the peripheries. Yasuda's invention is directed to an in-mold label with coupon. Yasuda teaches that a less adhesive zone can be formed by depositing 50% or less adhesive density via various printing techniques, including screen printing, etc. (Abstract and column 6, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/822,623 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

42-44). Although Voy does not specify the exact dimensions of the zones, it is believed that it is either inherent or an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art of adhesive label sheet. As such, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make an adhesive construction with a reduced adhesion at the peripheries, as taught by Voy, with reduced amount of adhesive density, as taught by Yasuda, motivated by the desire to achieve the improved releasability of the labels from the carrier sheet.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In addition, the following references are cited of interest for making labels:

#### US 5738381 to Treleaven et al.

**8.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,623

Art Unit: 1771

Page 5

140

VSC

June 10, 2002

DANIEL ZIRKER Primary Examiner Group <del>1300</del>

1700

Daniel Zaku